



SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

ANNUAL MEETING

6 JUNE 2022

PRESENT: Councillor R Haleem (Rotherham MBC) (Chair)

Councillor C Pickering (Barnsley MBC) (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: R Davison (Sheffield City Council), T Baum-Dixon (Rotherham MBC), T Downing (Sheffield City Council), P Garbutt (Sheffield City Council), S Knowles (Doncaster MBC), R Milsom (Sheffield City Council) and C Ransome (Doncaster MBC)

Dr A Billings (South Yorkshire Police & Crime Commissioner)
(South Yorkshire Police)

S Abbott, M Buttery, F Topliss and Baldwin
(Office of the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

N Ellis-Hall, J Field and A Shirt
(Barnsley MBC)

Apologies for absence were received from
Councillor J Moyes (Barnsley MBC), W Carratt (Independent Co-opted
Member of the Police and Crime Panel), S Parkin (Office of the South
Yorkshire Police & Crime Commissioner) and K Wright (Office of the
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

1. **APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR**

Cllr Pickering was elected to replace Cllr Cherryholme as the Vice-Chair for 2022/23.

The Panel noted that Cllr Haleem continues her second year in office as Chair to the Panel, in accordance with rule 1.1 of the Police and Crime Panel Rules of Procedure.

2. **WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The Chair Cllr Haleem welcomed everyone to the meeting, including new Members Cllr Downing and Cllr Moyes.

Cllr Haleem expressed the Panel's gratitude to the Members who had left the Panel this year.

Thanks went to Cllr Cherryholme who had been the Panel's Vice-Chair from 2021 and to Panel Member Cllr Lodge. The Panel also thanked Independent Member Professor James.

3. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were noted as above.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS

None.

5. URGENT ITEMS

None.

6. ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE ABSENCE OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

None.

7. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS IN RELATION TO ANY ITEM OF BUSINESS ON THE AGENDA

Cllr Haleem declared that she had recently been offered the role of CEO for Ashiana. Cllr Haleem was hoping to commence in role at the end of July 2022.

8. PUBLIC QUESTIONS: -

A) TO THE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

There were no public questions to the Police and Crime Commissioner.

B) TO THE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

There were no public questions to the Police and Crime Panel.

9. MINUTES OF THE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL MEETING HELD ON 25 APRIL 2022

The Panel discussed and noted progress in respect of the agreed actions captured on the Panel's Action Log set out in Appendix A to the minutes.

RESOLVED –

- i) That the minutes of the Police and Crime Panel meeting held on 25th April 2022 be agreed and signed by the Chair as a correct record.
- ii) Noted that the Panel's Action Log would be updated following discussion and agreement at today's meeting.

10. MEMBERSHIP OF THE POLICE & CRIME PANEL 2022-23

A report was presented setting out the membership of the Police and Crime Panel for the municipal year 2022-23.

The membership of the Panel for 2022-23 was noted as follows:-

Barnsley	Councillors Janine Moyes and Clive Pickering <i>Substitute Member: Councillor Kevin Osborne</i>
Doncaster	Councillors Sue Knowles and Cynthia Ransome
Rotherham	Councillors Rukhsana Haleem and Tim Baum-Dixon
Sheffield	Councillors Tony Downing, Ruth Milsom, Roger Davison and Peter Garbutt <i>Substitute Member: Councillor Maleiki Haybe</i>
Independent Members	Mr Warren Carratt <i>(Appointment of two Independent Members pending)</i>

RESOLVED - That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the membership of the Police and Crime Panel 2022-23.

11. MONITORING DELIVERY OF THE POLICE AND CRIME PLAN - QUARTERLY REPORT (JANUARY TO MARCH 2022)

The Commissioner provided the Panel with an update on the concerns which had been raised by Rotherham Councillors regarding Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) in Rotherham.

The Panel were reminded that Rotherham Councillors had questioned if South Yorkshire Police and Rotherham MBC officers were doing as much as they could to help both victims and potential victims of CSE. And furthermore, to ensure that CSE victims were being recognised, identified and supported, with all crimes being investigated and taken to Tribunal etc.

Due to the concerns raised by Rotherham Councillors, this had led to Rotherham MBC commissioning a review of their services.

Following the April Panel meeting, the results of the review had been published.

The Commissioner was pleased to report that the main concerns expressed by Rotherham Councillors were reported to be unfounded and there was no anxiety on the part of the reviewers around this.

The review spoke warmly and well of the partnership arrangements in place between South Yorkshire Police and Rotherham MBC. In addition, the review had highlighted that good working practices were being followed by the Force.

The Commissioner said that it was a good review, and he was very satisfied with the outcome.

The Commissioner requested the Panel to note the position, due to it being a concern for all Panel Members.

Cllr Baum-Dixon highlighted that the review had also made several suggested recommendations for continuous improvement.

In relation to the Monitoring Delivery of the Police and Crime Plan - Quarterly Report, Cllr Garbutt commented that he had concerns around the rise in recorded domestic abuse cases and also the fall in domestic abuse crime arrest rates. He asked the Commissioner to provide his comments.

The Commissioner replied that he would wish to see high arrest rates wherever possible. His principal concern was whether arrest rates were being maintained for high-risk vulnerable people, which he understood were currently at over 90%, as more victims come forward and feel confident to report domestic abuse. Furthermore, the Force continued its efforts to make reporting easier, by providing the facility to report crimes online.

Cllr Garbutt requested reassurance around the meaning of 'high-risk' victims and how the measurement of 'high-risk' is applied across the Force. Furthermore, he asked if the OPCC was confident that the measurement of 'high-risk' was applied appropriately by everyone concerned.

S Baldwin provided the Panel with reassurances that the measurement of 'high-risk' victims was being applied appropriately by everyone concerned in the Force.

It was explained that high-risk victims are assessed at two points. Firstly, at the initial incident response, which is assessed by an officer who attends the incident. High-risk victims are also prioritised when calls are received into the Force's Control Room, where the vulnerability of those victims and circumstances are assessed thoroughly against a framework.

In addition, the Force also has a secondary Risk Assessment Team who undertake thorough research of all the available information to appropriately risk assess and then onward refer those victims to social care or other support services for victims.

Cllr Pickering asked if a breakdown of information was available on the wide range of categories which fall under domestic abuse and had led to arrests being made.

S Baldwin replied that the different categories of domestic abuse had been covered in a previous quarterly report and also set out in previous Annual Reports.

In addition, Domestic Abuse Matters Training had been delivered to officers and staff within the Force and also across partners. The training had covered the different types of domestic abuse.

Cllr Pickering noted that the percentage of vulnerable victims satisfied with Police experience was showing 70% for 12 months to March 2022. He asked if the Force was undertaking work to establish why the remaining 30% of victims were not satisfied with their experience.

S Baldwin confirmed that the Force did undertake detailed work to analyse feedback from victims. In addition, further detailed work was also carried out on the elements which required following up.

Cllr Pickering commented that it would be helpful for the Panel to receive information to understand the point in time when a vulnerable victim's satisfaction with the Force starts to fall.

S Baldwin acknowledged Cllr Pickering's comment and agreed to discuss with K Wright following today's meeting.

Cllr Garbutt noted that a total of 409 referrals had been made to Inspire to Change during Quarter 3. He considered this to be a low number and asked if these figures reflected high-risk cases.

S Baldwin replied that the number of referrals made during the quarter to the Inspire to Change Programme was very positive.

Members were informed that the Inspire to Change Programme was reliant on perpetrators being willing to engage in the programme. There had been a significant increase from the previous programme, which was very positive as perpetrators were choosing to engage in the programme to address their behaviours.

Cllr Garbutt asked if a breakdown of information was available in relation to intimate and non-intimate household relationships where domestic abuse was taking place.

S Baldwin acknowledged the request and agreed to discuss with K Wright following today's meeting.

Councillor Downing raised concerns that serious crimes involving a knife or sharp instrument had risen during the last 12 months to March 2022. He asked for the Commissioner's views.

The Commissioner informed the Panel that knife crime had fallen last year and that there were no particular areas in South Yorkshire which stood out to him. The Commissioner provided the Panel with reassurances that he would continue to monitor this area closely.

In addition, the Commissioner provided the Panel with information on the work being undertaken to combat crimes involving a knife or sharp instrument. This included working with schools and young people, by issuing grants to support

boxing and football clubs, who would include programmes about knife crime and the dangers of carrying a knife or blade.

There were also a number of safe and secure knife bins around the county which were emptied by the Force.

M Buttery invited Members to visit the Violence Reduction Unit to see the work which is being carried out.

Cllr Davison commented that it would be useful for the Panel to explore trends over a longer period. For example, over the last 5 years, to analyse if the Force were being successful in combatting crimes.

The Commissioner replied that, wherever possible, he would also like to see longer term trends. However, there could be a distorted picture due to the time of COVID-19 restrictions, which did affect statistics.

Cllr Milsom noted that there had been a large decrease in the recorded level of anti-social behaviour incidents reported to the Force in the period and a larger increase in the recorded level of all crime, compared to the last quarterly report. She asked the Commissioner for his opinion on whether the Force were dealing with more anti-social behaviour incidents, which in turn were converting to crime.

The Commissioner replied that it could be a combination of factors. He did task officers to disaggregate and disentangle the information to understand when anti-social behaviour becomes a crime. In addition, not all anti-social behaviour was captured by Police recording. Recording of anti-social behaviour was also undertaken by local authorities, for example, in relation to fly-tipping.

Cllr Milsom asked if there were any systems and mechanisms in place for gathering information locally from the Community Safety Partnerships and Neighbourhood Policing Teams to assess trend falls in anti-social behaviour correlating with rising crime numbers.

The Commissioner acknowledged Cllr Milsom's question and agreed to consider further after today's meeting.

Cllr Milsom asked if the Commissioner had any evidence around the success of the tour of the Knife Angel Project and if there were any lasting positive effects from the project where it had been installed. Furthermore, she asked if this project was more effective than other long-term rooted projects in neighbourhoods.

The Commissioner replied that the only evidence he had seen in relation to the impact of the Knife Angel Project had been anecdotal. He understood that the Knife Angel Project was to be invited to Sheffield. He suggested that Cllr Milsom raise these questions directly with Knife Angel Project officers.

In response to a query from Cllr Garbutt around the rates of proven re-offending, S Baldwin confirmed that there was always a delay in data being received. This was due to the OPCC waiting for the Criminal Justice System to report before they can

produce the statistics. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic the figures would be further delayed.

Cllr Baum-Dixon asked why there had been a statistically significant difference in relation to the percentage of people saying that the police do a good / excellent job during the 12 months to March 2022.

In addition, Cllr Baum-Dixon reported that he had received several reports around the non-attendance of Police officers to crimes. He asked if there were any statistics available and if the Commissioner had any information why the Force were not attending residential burglaries and thefts from farms, particularly in rural areas. Furthermore, he asked how the Force were managing the link between the Response Teams and Neighbourhood Teams.

The Commissioner replied that, ideally, he would like an officer to visit every residential, commercial and farm burglary. However, due to resources being stretched, it was not always possible. The Force did triage reports of these crimes to understand if there would be any purpose in visiting to obtain further information that the Force did not already know.

The Commissioner acknowledged that this was frustrating to those living in rural areas, especially when public confidence was improved significantly when officers call where crimes have been committed and keep in contact with people to let them know what is happening in relation to the progress being made with their case.

It was acknowledged that the Chief Constable and District Commanders were fully aware of the need to maintain confidence. With more Police officers being recruited, the Commissioner anticipated that there would be a much-improved Force response to reports of burglary and robbery.

Cllr Baum-Dixon added that it was important for the Force to acknowledge people's reports, be taken seriously, listened to, and to be kept up to date on the work being undertaken to resolve their crime. Furthermore, he said that complaints were sometimes overlooked if they were not received by the correct officer.

The Commissioner reassured the Panel that when he was meeting with the Chief Constable, District Commanders, and Neighbourhood Teams he constantly reminded them that they must, wherever possible, call and keep people updated. Conversely, it was also important to remind the public, that they must continue to report crimes in order to allow the Force to observe and understand patterns of criminality.

Cllr Garbutt noted that a telephone Satisfaction Survey was conducted by the Force 4-6 weeks after vulnerable victims had reported a crime. He asked if calls were made to 100% of vulnerable victims of crime. Furthermore, he asked if a follow-up call was made to those victims who the Force could not reach following an initial first call.

S Baldwin confirmed that the Force undertook its telephone surveys from a sample of crime types, for example, domestic abuse, burglary and key crime types.

It was suggested that a future Public Accountability Board (PAB) report contains information around how the Force carries out telephone surveys to gain feedback in relation to an individual's overall experience with the Police.

Cllr Garbutt referred to page 5 of the report, Section 1 'Protecting Vulnerable People'. He suggested that incidents of cuckooing using vulnerable people should be included within the report.

The Commissioner acknowledged Cllr Garbutt's comment and agreed to consider the inclusion of incidents of cuckooing in future reports.

Cllr Pickering commented that victims can also be involved with the perpetrators, and sometimes, perpetrators claim to be a victim to absolve themselves of the crime.

The Commissioner replied that he would pass this view onto the Force but would be surprised if they were not already aware. He added that, officers were trained in spotting the signs of cuckooing and the Force also reviewed their practices in order to constantly learn.

Cllr Garbutt raised concerns that anyone who was being trafficked could be sent to Rwanda, and this may deter people from reporting. Cllr Garbutt asked to what extent could the Commissioner put pressure on the Home Secretary to drop, in his opinion, this inhuman counterproductive measure.

The Commissioner replied that he would be interested to see whether the Rwanda project moves forward and works. He agreed that the project was fraught with several dangers. Furthermore, there was also a significant amount of anxiety in the country surrounding this.

RESOLVED – That the Police and Crime Panel:

- i) Noted the contents of the report and commented on any matters arising.
- ii) Noted that S Baldwin had agreed to discuss with K Wright, Cllr Pickering's request for the Panel to receive information to understand the point in time when a vulnerable victim's satisfaction with the Force starts to fall.
- iii) Noted that S Baldwin would discuss with K Wright, Cllr Garbutt's request for a breakdown of information in relation to intimate and non-intimate household relationships where domestic abuse was taking place.
- iv) Noted that arrangements would be made for Members to visit the Violence Reduction Unit.
- v) Noted that the Commissioner had agreed to consider Cllr Milsom's request to ascertain if there are any systems and mechanisms in place for gathering information locally from the Community Safety Partnerships and Neighbourhood Policing Teams to assess trend falls in anti-social behaviour correlating with rising crime numbers.

- vi) Noted that a future Public Accountability Board (PAB) report would contain information around how the Force carries out telephone surveys to gain feedback in relation to an individual's overall experience with the Police.
- vii) Noted that the Commissioner had agreed to consider the inclusion of incidents of cuckooing in future reports.
- viii) Noted that the Commissioner had agreed to pass on Members views to the Force regarding cuckooing.

12. OFFICE OF POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER DELIVERY PLAN 2022-24

A report of the Police and Crime Commissioner was presented to provide Members with the Delivery Plan from the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) which explained how the OPCC is contributing to delivery of the Police and Crime Commissioner's (PCC's) Police and Crime Plan for 2022-2025.

The Panel noted that, as in previous years, the PCC had asked that the OPCC, and those he commissions (including the Chief Constable) to provide delivery plans to explain how each organisation intends to contribute towards delivering the priorities and areas of focus within the Police and Crime Plan. In addition, the PCC also required assurance as to how each organisation would monitor and report on progress. It was expected that the content of the delivery plans would be proportionate to the level of funding provided to each organisation.

The OPCC had developed the Delivery Plan at Appendix B to the report to respond to the PCC's Police and Crime Plan.

The Delivery Plan also explained the work the OPCC will undertake to support the PCC and his two statutory officers in the discharge of their statutory responsibilities.

Appendix A to the report provided the Panel with a 'Plan on a Page' and supporting narrative at Appendix B.

The Panel noted that the PCC had maintained the same overall aim for South Yorkshire: to be a safe place to live, learn and work. The PCC had also retained the same three strategic priorities of:

- Protecting vulnerable people
- Tackling crime and anti-social behaviour
- Treating people fairly

M Buttery highlighted that the Delivery Plan for 2022-24 contained several new areas of focus, including:

- Responding to the PCC Review, Fire Reform White Paper and the Levelling up Agenda;
- Understanding the strategic response and what is working in the area of Violence against Women and Girls, Road Safety and Treating People Fairly; and
- Pursuing appropriate external funding.

Cllr Garbutt referred to page 8 of the report entitled 'Road Safety'. He highlighted that, parking was not included within the report and asked if consideration could be given to its inclusion. He also highlighted that road users are not just car drivers and asked that this be referenced in the Plan.

Furthermore, he reported that Sheffield has one of the highest rates of children's deaths and serious injuries from road accidents. He asked if details could be included in the Plan in relation to how partners are going to address this.

M Buttery highlighted that there was a key distinction between the Commissioner's Police and Crime Plan, which set out the Commissioner's priorities and areas of focus.

It was confirmed that road safety featured in the OPCC's Delivery Plan setting out the work which would be undertaken by the OPCC in supporting the Commissioner, as opposed to being the law enforcement agency. In addition, South Yorkshire Police had its own Delivery Plan which had been presented to the Commissioner.

The Panel were informed that the Commissioner has a convening role but has no powers for dealing with local authority parking enforcement.

It was confirmed that F Topliss was leading on road safety for the OPCC. Any enquiries received from the public would be passed on to appropriate partners, who have the responsibility for dealing with local road safety issues.

F Topliss reported that the OPCC is also a member of the South Yorkshire Safer Road Partnership, where road safety, parking and enforcement is regularly discussed.

Cllr Milsom referred to the development of the OPCC's Road Safety Leaflet for the public. She asked how the delivery of key road safety messages would take place and how sustained it would be. Furthermore, she asked if there was capacity for longer term sustained and effective messaging. She also queried if there would be any co-working with the Mayor's Active Travel Commissioner to deliver effective messaging around some of the new Highway Code changes around the priority of road users in order to help change mindsets and habits.

F Topliss confirmed that the Road Safety Leaflet was currently at the final draft stage. Following publication, the leaflet would be circulated widely, especially in rural areas. With regards to the Active Travel Partnership and working with the Mayor's Office, it was confirmed that the OPCC would continue to work with partners to support the delivery of those messages.

Cllr Milsom asked if the OPCC would be working with local authorities around road safety messaging.

F Topliss confirmed that the local authorities were also members of the South Yorkshire Safer Roads Partnership. Road safety messages would be discussed when the Partnership were discussing campaigns etc.

Cllr Milson referred to the Devolution Framework. She asked if the Commissioner would be meeting with Mayor Coppard to discuss his ambitions around policing and crime.

The Commissioner confirmed that informal discussions had already taken place with Mayor Coppard and more formal ones were planned, with a view to confirming how much Mayor Coppard would be involved in policing and crime.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the contents of the report and commented on any matters arising.

13. POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER'S UPDATE (INCLUDING DECISIONS MADE SINCE THE LAST MEETING)

A report of the Commissioner was presented to inform Members that the Commissioner is supported by the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) in delivering his Police and Crime Plan, and in effectively discharging his wide range of legal responsibilities.

The OPCC has a Delivery Plan which outlines how this is undertaken each year.

The report provided Members with an update on key PCC and OPCC activities against the new Delivery Plan since the Panel's last meeting held on 25 April 2022.

The report also provided Members with information on the decisions taken by the PCC since the Panel's last meeting.

Cllr Davison reported that one of the objectives of the Sheffield Local Area Committees (LACs) was to analyse domestic abuse and the abuse of vulnerable people. He asked how the LACs could be informed of the work being undertaken by Commissioner.

M Buttery replied that a question had been raised with the Force's Senior Command Team at the last Public Accountability Board around how the LACs areas of focus could feed into the priorities of the Community Safety Partnerships.

Following discussion, it was suggested that the LACs be responsible for obtaining the information they require from the Force/OPCC. Cllr Davison agreed to provide feedback to the LACs.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the contents of the report and commented on any matters arising.

14. POLICE & CRIME PANEL ANNUAL REPORT 2021-22 (DRAFT)

A report was submitted to present the draft Police and Crime Panel's Annual Report 2021-22 for Members' consideration and approval.

Members noted that, whilst it was not a requirement of the legislation for Police and Crime Panels to produce an Annual Report, it was recognised best practice that Panels will report on its activities and other key information.

In 2020 the Panel had made a decision to only provide printed copies of the Annual Report to libraries across South Yorkshire, and those Town and Parish Councils without an email address. South Yorkshire Councillors, South Yorkshire MPs and Town and Parish Councils with an email address would receive an electronic copy of the Annual Report.

A copy of the Annual Report would also be published on the Panel's website.

The indicative costs for the production of the 2021-22 Annual Report were set out in paragraph 2 of the report and would be met from the Home Office Grant funding provided to administer the Panel.

Members were requested to provide comments on the contents of the Annual Report to A Shirt by Friday 24 June 2022, following which design work would be undertaken in-house to produce the report.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Agreed to consider and provide comments on the draft 2021-22 Police and Crime Panel Annual Report by Friday 24 June 2022.
- ii) Agreed to allocate funding to print the required number of copies for distribution as detailed within the Executive Summary of the report.

15. LEAD / LINK MEMBERS - REVIEW

A report of the Clerk to the Panel was presented to inform Members that the Lead / Link Member arrangement has been cited as best practice for Police and Crime Panels across the country as an alternative model of delivering effective support and scrutiny for the Police and Crime Commissioner.

The arrangement provides for additional capacity for Members to explore, in more detail, key elements of the Police and Crime Plan priorities. As outlined in the report to the Panel on 1 July 2019, the Lead / Link model varies from region to region dependent on the number of members on the Panel, and the capacity to support extra meetings / activity. What is important is that the model works for both the Panel and the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC).

At the Panel meeting held on 7 June 2021, Members agreed to continue with the Budget Working Group and Performance Sub-Group with the following Lead Members, but to review on an annual basis:

- Budget Working Group – Cllr Haleem
- Performance Sub-group – Professor James (Lead Member) and Cllr Garbutt (Deputy Lead Member)

However, following the District Council Annual meeting there had been some changes in the membership of the Panel which had resulted in vacancies as set out in paragraphs 7 and 9 of the report.

Following discussion, the Panel agreed that Cllr Davison be appointed to the Budget Working Group.

The Panel agreed that Cllr Garbutt be appointed as Lead Member for Performance, with Cllr Haleem appointed as Deputy Lead Member for Performance.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Agreed that the current arrangements for the Budget Working Group and Performance Sub-Group continue.
- ii) Agreed to appoint Cllr Davison to serve on the Budget Working Group.
- iii) Agreed to appoint Cllr Garbutt as Lead Member for Performance.
- iv) Agreed to appoint Cllr Haleem as Deputy Lead Member for Performance.

16. LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

A report was submitted to update Members on current events – national, regional and local, together with future plans in respect of learning and development for the Panel.

Suggestions for any other learning and development opportunities Members may have to support the Panel's learning and development were welcomed.

A summary of the events which had taken place since the last meeting together with details of proposed future events were set out within the report for Members' information.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Noted the update.
- ii) Agreed to provide suggestions for future learning and development.

17. WORK PROGRAMME / PAB DATES

Members considered the 2022/23 Work Programme and were reminded that they could submit issues for the Work Programme that fall within the Panel's Statutory role in supporting and scrutinising the Commissioner.

All issues would be given full consideration by the Chair, Vice-Chair and Commissioner at the pre-agenda planning meetings.

Additionally, Members were encouraged to attend the meetings of the Commissioner's Public Accountability Board (PAB) to increase their operational knowledge.

Members were reminded that they could also submit questions for PAB through the OPCC, with 5 working days notice prior to the meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the contents of the 2022/23 Work Programme.

18. DATE AND TIME OF THE NEXT MEETING

RESOLVED – That the next meeting of the Police and Crime Panel be held on Monday 18 July 2022, at 1:00 pm in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Church Street, Barnsley.

CHAIR